

30

# UTILITIES DIVISION ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

2001 NOV 15 P 4: 15

Application For a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to Provide Resold

Interexchange Service and For Determination that Services of the Applicant Recommendation Control.

Applicant: Inmark, Inc. dba Preferred Billing

Docket No.: T-03372A-97-0255

On March 16, 1997, Inmark, Inc. dba Preferred Billing ("Applicant") filed an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity (CC&N) to provide resold interexchange services within the State of Arizona.

Staff's review of this application addresses the overall fitness of the Applicant to receive a CC&N to provide competitive resold intrastate interexchange telecommunications services. Staff's review considers the Applicant's technical and financial capabilities, and whether the Applicant's proposed rates will be competitive, just, and reasonable.

	REVIEW OF APPLICANT INFORMATION
Staff makes the following finding, indicated by an "X," regarding information filed by the Applicant:	
X	The necessary information has been filed to process this application, and the Applicant has authority to transact business in the State of Arizona.
X	The Applicant has published legal notice of the application in all counties where service will be provided.
	REVIEW OF TECHNICAL INFORMATION  The Applicant has demonstrated sufficient technical capability to provide the proposed service following reasons, which are marked:

The Applicant is currently providing service in Arizona.

The Applicant is currently providing service in other states.

The Applicant is a switchloss resoller

The Applicant is a switchless reseller.

X

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

NOV 1 5 2001

DOCKETED BY MON

com terr cur	Applicant has provided a system diagram that depicts its network that is used for pleting calls within Arizona. Local exchange carrier facilities are used to originate and ninate calls carried on the Applicant's interexchange network. The Applicant does not cently own any interexchange facilities. The facilities that are used to complete calls are nined from a facilities-based carrier operating in the state.
1 1	he event the Applicant's network fails, end users can access other interexchange service viders.
this inform	Applicant is approved to offer resold interexchange service in fourteen (14) states. Based on ation, Staff has determined that the Applicant has sufficient technical capabilities to provide exchange telecommunications services.
REVIEW OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
2001. The \$433,398. information customers	Applicant has provided its unaudited financial statements for six (6) months ending June 30, see financial statements list assets of \$62,266; equity of \$62,319; and a net income of The Applicant did not provide notes related to the financial statements. Based upon this see, Staff believes the Applicant lacks sufficient financial resources to be allowed to charge any prepayments or deposits without posting a performance bond to cover such customer as or deposits.
or deposits deposits, St demonstrat	Applicant stated in its Application that it does not charge its customers for any prepayments. If at some future date, the Applicant wants to charge customers any prepayments or aff recommends that the Applicant be required to file information with the Commission that es the Applicant's financial viability. Upon receipt of such filing and after Staff review, Staff ard its recommendation to the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission").
customers telecommu wants serv	his Applicant experiences financial difficulty, there should be minimal impact to the of this Applicant because there are many other companies that provide resold nications service or the customers may choose a facilities-based provider. If the customer ce from a different provider immediately, that customer is able to dial a 101XXXX access e longer term, the customer may permanently switch to another company.
	REVIEW OF PROPOSED TARIFF AND FAIR VALUE DETERMINATION
X The	Applicant has filed a proposed tariff with the Commission.
1 1	e Applicant has filed sufficient information with the Commission to make a fair value ermination.

The Applicant has submitted proposed tariffs. At this time, the Applicant has not provided fair value information. Without this information, Staff is unable to provide tariff analysis and recommendations in terms of a fair value finding. Therefore, Staff recommends that, if the Applicant wishes to proceed with its certificate application, any tariffs filed in this matter be approved on an interim basis. If a certificate is conditionally granted and tariffs are authorized on an interim basis, the Applicant should be required to file in this Docket, within 18 months of the date it first provides service following certification, sufficient information for Staff analysis and recommendation for a fair value finding, as well as for an analysis and recommendation for permanent tariff approval. This information must include, at a minimum, the following:

- 1. A dollar amount representing the total revenue for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification, adjusted to reflect the **maximum rates** that the Applicant has requested in its tariff. This adjusted total revenue figure could be calculated as the number of units sold for all services offered times the maximum charge per unit.
- 2. The total actual operating expenses for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification.
- 3. The value of **all assets**, listed by major category, including a description of the assets, used for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification. Assets are not limited to plant and equipment. Items such as office equipment and office supplies should be included in this list.

Staff further recommends that the Applicant's failure to meet the condition to timely file sufficient information for a fair value finding and analysis and recommendation of permanent tariffs should result in the expiration of the certificate and of the tariffs.

#### **COMPETITIVE SERVICES' RATES AND CHARGES**

### **Competitive Services**

The Applicant is a reseller of services it purchases from other telecommunications companies. It is not a monopoly provider of service nor does it control a significant portion of the telecommunications market. The Applicant cannot adversely affect the intrastate interexchange market by restricting output or raising market prices. In addition, the entities from which the Applicant buys bulk services are technically and financially capable of providing alternative services at comparable rates, terms, and conditions. Staff has concluded that the Applicant has no market power and that the reasonableness of its rates will be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors. In light of the competitive market in which the Applicant will be providing its services, Staff believes that the Applicant's proposed tariffs for its competitive services will be just and reasonable.

#### **Effective Rates**

The Commission provides pricing flexibility by allowing competitive telecommunication service companies to price their services at or below the maximum rates contained in their tariffs as long as the pricing of those services complies with Arizona Administrative Code ("AAC") R14-2-1109. The Commission's rules require the Applicant to file a tariff for each competitive service that states the maximum rate as well as the effective (actual) price that will be charged for the service. Because Staff believes that the market in which these services will be offered is competitive, Staff recommends approval on an interim basis of the rates proposed by the Applicant in its most recently filed tariffs. In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its tariff for a competitive service, Staff recommends that the rate stated be the effective (actual) price to be charged for the service as well as the service's maximum rate. Any changes to the Applicant's effective price for a service must comply with AAC R14-2-1109.

#### **Minimum and Maximum Rates**

AAC R14-2-1109 (A) provides that minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive services must not be below the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of providing the services. The Applicant's maximum rates should be the maximum rates proposed by the Applicant in its most recent tariffs on file with the Commission. Any future changes to the maximum rates in the Applicant's tariffs must comply with AAC R14-2-1110.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff has reviewed the Applicant's application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to offer intrastate interexchange services as a reseller and its petition to classify its intrastate interexchange services as competitive. Based on its evaluation of the Applicant's technical and financial capabilities to provide resold intrastate interexchange services, Staff recommends approval of the application subject to the following:

- 1. The Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications service;
- 2. The Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as required by the Commission;
- 3. The Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the Commission may designate;
- 4. The Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;

- 5. The Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;
- 6. The Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations of customer complaints;
- 7. The Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to a universal service fund, as required by the Commission;
- 8. The Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon changes to the Applicant's address or telephone number;
- 9. If at some future date, the Applicant wants to charge any prepayments or deposits, it must file information with the Commission that demonstrates the Applicant's financial viability. Upon receipt of such filing, Staff will review the information and forward its recommendation to the Commission;
- 10. The Applicant's intrastate interexchange service offerings should be classified as competitive pursuant to AAC R14-2-1108;
- 11. The rates proposed by the Applicant in its most recently filed tariffs should be approved on an interim basis. The maximum rates for these services should be the maximum rates proposed by the Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of providing those services as set forth in AAC R14-2-1109; and
- 12. In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged for the service as well as the service's maximum rate.

Staff recommends approval of the application subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The Applicant be ordered to file conforming tariffs within 30 days of an Order in this matter, and in accordance with the Decision;
- 2. The Applicant be required to file in this Docket, within 18 months of the date it first provides service following certification, sufficient information for Staff analysis and recommendation for a fair value finding, as well as for an analysis and recommendation for permanent tariff approval. The Applicant's failure to meet the condition to timely file sufficient information for a fair value finding and analysis and recommendation of permanent tariffs should result in the expiration of the certificate and of the tariffs. This information must include, at a minimum, the following:
  - a. A dollar amount representing the total revenue for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification, adjusted to reflect the **maximum rates** that the Applicant has

- requested in its tariff. This adjusted total revenue figure could be calculated as the number of units sold for all services offered times the maximum charge per unit.
- b. The total actual operating expenses for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification.
- c. The value of **all assets**, listed by major category, including a description of the assets, used for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification. Assets are not limited to plant and equipment. Items such as office equipment and office supplies should be included in this list.

This application may be approved without a hearing pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes § 40-282.

Date: 11-15-01

Ernest G. Johnson

Director

**Utilities Division** 

Originator: John Bostwick

SERVICE LIST FOR: Inmark, Inc. dba Preferred Billing DOCKET NO. T-03372A-97-0255

Mr. Patrick D. Crocker Early, Lennon, Crocker & Bartosiewicz, P.L.C. 900 Comerica Building Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007-4752

Mr. Ernest G. Johnson Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Division 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Mr. Christopher C. Kempley Arizona Corporation Commission Legal Division 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ms. Lyn Farmer Chief Administrative Law Judge Arizona Corporation Commission Hearing Division 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007